Docket No.: S63.2O-7182-US02

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Wang et al Application No.: 10/797996 Fîlêd:

March 11, 2004

For: Balloon Structure with PTFE Component

Group Art Unit: 1791

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## Request for Reconsideration of Patent Term Adjustment, 37 C.F.R. § 1.705

The patentee hereby requests reconsideration, under 35 U.S.C. § 154(d), of the Determination of Patent Term Adjustment (PTA) made by the Director as indicated on the issued patent. Applicant requests reconsideration of the PTA Determination because the USPTO failed to properly adjust for the period between the three-year date and the issue date of the patent pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.702(b).

## Determination of Patent Term Adjustment

As indicated on the issued patent, the patent term adjustment is 1533 days. Attached is the PAIR record showing the basis for this determination, which is believed to be incorrect

The above referenced application was filed on March 11, 2004 and so is entitled to the benefit of the current version of 35 U.S.C. § 154. The PTA determination fails to follow the calculation method required by law.

This request is being timely filed on May 24, 2010. The patent issued on (1) March 23, 2010. Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.705(d), Applicant has two months after the date of issuance of the patent to request reconsideration of the patent term adjustment. May 23, 2010 falls on a Sunday and, under 35 U.S.C. § 21(b), Applicant has until the next succeeding secular or business day to take action

when the period ends on a Sunday. Therefore it is clear that this request for patent adjustment pursuant to 37 C.F.R. \$1.702(b) is timely.

- (2) This request is accompanied by the fee set forth in 37 CFR § 1.18(e).
- (3) Statement of the facts involved:
  - The correct patent term adjustment and the basis under 37 CFR § 1.702 for the adjustment is as follows:

Correct Adjustment	= 1708
Non-overlapping three year days	+ 432
Applicant's delay days as indicated on PAIR	- 31
Total USPTO delay days as indicated by PAIR	=1307
Other delay days post-first Office Action as indicated by PAIR	<u>+ 676</u>
as indicated on PAIR	+ 631
USPTO delay days to the issuance of the first Office Action	

(ii) The relevant dates as specified in §§ 1.703(a) through (e) for which an adjustment is sought and the adjustment as specified in § 1.703(f) to which the patent is entitled are explained as follows:

The Office calculation of 1533 days fails to properly include all of the USPTO delay days. The USPTO delayed 631 days between the 14 month date (May 11, 2005) and the mailing date of the first Office Action (February 1, 2007), as indicated on PAIR.

The USPTO delayed 676 days between the filing date of the Notice of Appeal (November 16, 2007) and the mailing date of the decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences (September 21, 2009), as indicated on PAIR.

Applicant had 31 delay days between the three-month deadline for the Response to the Final Office Action (mailed July 16, 2007) and the filing date of the Notice of Appeal (November 16, 2007) as indicated by PAIR.

Applicant notes that the patent term adjustment calculation provided with the Notice of Allowance (which did not include a calculation of the delay for the period between the three-year date and the issue date under 37 C.F.R.  $\S\S$  1.702(b) & 1.703(b)) was 1276 days (631 + 676 – 31 = 1276). Following the calculation of the delay period between the three-year date and the issue date, PAIR indicates the patent term adjustment to be 1533 days.

## However:

There were 1108 days between the three year date (March 11, 2007) and the issue date of the patent (March 23, 2010). Not all of those days are accounted for on PAIR as USPTO delay.

According to 37 C.F.R. § 1.703(b)(4), the period between the filing date of the Notice of Appeal (November 16, 2007) and the mailing date of the decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences (September 21, 2009) is subtracted from the three-year delay period. In this case, the appellate delay period is 676 days. Applicant notes that, because the appeal was successful, Applicant recoups this period under 37 C.F.R. § 8 1.702(c) & 1.703(c). This is already accounted for on PAIR.

Therefore, Applicant is entitled to an additional 432 non-overlapping days (1108-676=432) beyond the calculation on PAIR to account for the delays between the three year date (March 11, 2007) and the issue date of the patent (March 23, 2010). Thus the total adjustment that should have been shown on the face of the patent 35 U.S.C. § 154(b) is 1708 days (631+676+(1108-676)-31=1708).

- (iii) The patent is not subject to a terminal disclaimer.
- (iv)(A) Applicant has included herewith a copy of the print-out from the USPTO's PAIR Patent Term Adjustment page for the above file. Applicant has not verified the USPTO's determination but accepts it for the purposes of this petition.

Application No. 10/797996 Page 4 Request for Reconsideration of Patent Term Adjustment Attorney Docket No. S63.2B-14395-US012

All items required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.705 having been provided herein, the Applicant requests that the Patent Term Adjustment be corrected to show an adjustment of 1708 days.

Respectfully submitted,

VIDAS, ARRETT & STEINKRAUS

Date: May 24, 2010 By: /Martha J. Engel/\_

Martha J. Engel Registration No.: 61534

6640 Shady Oak Dr., Suite 400 Eden Prairie, MN 55344-7834 Telephone: (952) 563-3000 Facsimile: (952) 563-3001

f:\wpwork\mie\07182us02 pet 20100524.doc

10/797,996	BALLOON STRUCTURE WITH PTFE COMPONENT			05-24- 2010::18:41:20	
Patent Terr	m Adjustments				
Patent Term	Adjustment (PTA) f	or Application Num	nber: 10/797,996		
Filing or 371(	(c) Date:	03-11-2004	USPTO Delay (PTO) Delay (days):	-	
Issue Date of	f Patent:	03-23-2010	Three Years:	-	
Pre-Issue Pet	titions (days):	-	Applicant Delay (APPL) Delay (days):	-	
Post-Issue Pe	etitions (days):	-	Total PTA (days):	1533	

USPTO Adjusti	ment(days): - Explanation Of Calc	ulations	
Patent Term	Adjustment History		
Date	Contents Description	PTO(Days)	APPL(Days)
03-23-2010	PTA 36 Months	257	
03-23-2010	Patent Issue Date Used in PTA Calculation		
02-16-2010	Dispatch to FDC	*	
02-10-2010	Application Is Considered Ready for Issue	*	
02-02-2010	Issue Fee Payment Verified	*	
02-02-2010	Issue Fee Payment Received	*	
11-02-2009	Mail Notice of Allowance	*	
10-29-2009	Document Verification	*	
10-29-2009	Notice of Allowance Data Verification Completed	*	
10-29-2009	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU	*	
09-21-2009	Mail BPAI Decision on Appeal - Reversed	676	
09-18-2009	BPAI Decision - Examiner Reversed	*	
10-08-2008	Docketing Notice Mailed to Appellant	*	
10-07-2008	Assignment of Appeal Number	*	
08-05-2008	Appeal Awaiting BPAI Docketing	*	
07-01-2008	Mail Reply Brief Noted by Examiner	*	
06-25-2008	Reply Brief Noted by Examiner	*	
06-18-2008	Date Forwarded to Examiner	*	
06-17-2008	Reply Brief Filed	*	
05-10-2008	Exam. Ans. Review Complete	*	
05-08-2008	Examiner's Answer to Appeal Brief	*	
03-06-2008	Appeal Brief Review Complete	•	
03-06-2008	Date Forwarded to Examiner	*	
02-26-2008	Appeal Brief Filed	4	
02-05-2008	Notice Defective Appeal Brief	*	
02-01-2008	Appeal Brief Review Complete	*	
02-01-2008	Date Forwarded to Examiner	*	
01-16-2008	Defective / Incomplete Appeal Brief Filed	*	
01-16-2008	Appeal Brief Filed	*	
12-12-2007	Mail Appeals conf. Proceed to BPAI	*	
12-10-2007	Pre-Appeals Conference Decision - Proceed to BPAI	4	

11-16-2007	Request for Pre-Appeal Conference Filed	*		
11-16-2007	Notice of Appeal Filed		31	
11-16-2007	Request for Extension of Time - Granted		*	
10-05-2007	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU		*	
09-19-2007	Mail Advisory Action (PTOL - 303)		*	
09-17-2007	Advisory Action (PTOL-303)		*	
09-13-2007	Date Forwarded to Examiner		*	
09-12-2007	Amendment after Final Rejection		4	
07-16-2007	Mail Final Rejection (PTOL - 326)		*	
07-09-2007	Final Rejection			
05-04-2007	Date Forwarded to Examiner			
05-01-2007	Response after Non-Final Action			
02-01-2007	Mail Non-Final Rejection	631		
01-31-2007	Non-Final Rejection	*		
08-27-2004	Information Disclosure Statement considered	*		
03-11-2004	Information Disclosure Statement considered	*		
06-30-2006	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU	*		
05-10-2006	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU	余		
08-27-2004	Reference capture on IDS	*		
08-27-2004	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed	会		
08-27-2004	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed	4		
09-03-2004	IFW TSS Processing by Tech Center Complete	食		
09-03-2004	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU	*		
03-11-2004	Reference capture on IDS	*		
03-11-2004	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed	*		
03-11-2004	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed	*		
03-11-2004	Preliminary Amendment	*		
05-27-2004	Application Return from OIPE	4		
05-27-2004	Application Return TO OIPE	食		
05-27-2004	Application Dispatched from OIPE	*		
05-27-2004	Application Is Now Complete	*		
04-22-2004	Cleared by L&R (LARS)	*		
04-08-2004	Referred to Level 2 (LARS) by OIPE CSR	*		
03-29-2004	IFW Scan & PACR Auto Security Review	*		
03-11-2004	Initial Exam Team nn	*		

Close Window